Synergistic catalytic effect of N-doped carbon embedded with CoFe-rich CoFe$_2$O$_4$ clusters as highly efficient catalyst towards oxygen reduction
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Abstract

Spinel cobalt ferrite is one of the promising electrocatalysts for the ORR, but the poor electrical conductivity limits its application. Herein, we report a simple and efficient method to synthesize the N-doped carbon-shielding CoFe-rich CoFe$_2$O$_4$ catalyst which exhibits superior ORR catalytic activity under alkaline conditions due to the improved conductivity and high electrochemically active surface area. The NC@CoFe$_2$O$_4$ catalyst shows a positive onset potential (1.0 V), half-wave potential (0.89 V) and maximum limiting current density ($\sim$5.0 mA cm$^{-2}$), which are similar to commercial Pt/C, while significantly higher than those of all other controls and most of the previously reported catalysts. The Koutecky-Levich equation and rotating ring-disk electrode test prove a direct four-electron reduction process. Moreover, the NC@CoFe$_2$O$_4$ catalyst also demonstrates superior methanol tolerance and long-term stability compared to Pt/C. The proposed catalytic mechanism analysis illustrates that the excellent ORR electrocatalytic activities and durability are the combined effect of highly conductive CoFe alloy, abundant Co–N/Fe–N sites along with the hydrophilic nature of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ clusters.

© 2019 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The ever-increasing demand for renewable energy to replace fossil fuels has invited substantial attention in the community [1,2]. Despite the fact that significant progress has been made on sustainable energy storage and conversion systems, including fuel cells and metal-air batteries, the sluggish kinetics of oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) at the cathode is the main barriers limiting the performance of these systems [3–6]. To date, the state-of-the-art noble metal catalysts are commonly used as ORR catalysts. However, their high cost, scarcity, durability, and methanol crossover pose a severe limitation to global-scale applications [7–10]. Thus, exploring nonprecious metal catalysts (NPMCs) with high ORR activity, excellent durability, and resistant to methanol is an alternative road to reducing cost and realizing the commercial application of energy conversion technology.

In the past decades, developing the first-row (3d) transition-metal-based oxygen reduction electrocatalysts with comparable performance to Pt/C attracted tremendous attention because of their promising ORR activity, low-cost, and high stability [11–13]. Among them, the transition-metal-based spinel metal oxides from Fd3m space group were explored as a new class of compounds with mixed valence, which shows interesting electrocatalytic activities toward ORR in alkaline [14]. However, this type of oxide is a semiconductor and easily aggregated during continuous operation, catalysts composed of these nanoclusters are usually supported on carbon-based materials with high conductivity [15,16] or they are modified by various physical treatments. For instance, Liu et al. reported that FeCo$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles with abundant oxygen vacancies via laser fragmentation could lower not only the
thermodynamic energy barriers but also accelerate the electron transfer [17]. Zhang’s group reported NiFe2O4 quantum dots anchored carbon nanotubes with appealing ORR and OER activity [18]. Furthermore, Liu et al. also revealed a Ni-NiMn2O4 (M = Mn or Fe) supported on N-doped CNTs that exhibits a robust multifunctional catalytic performance towards HER, OER, and ORR in alkaline electrolyte [19]. However, the ORR activity of the materials is still far from that of the commercial Pt/C. However, the ORR activities of those materials are still far from that of the commercial Pt/C. It has been reported that the ORR performance of spinel metal oxides can be improved significantly by incorporating nitrogen-doped carbon [20] due to the enhanced binding energies between the active species and supports with the N-participation [21]. Meanwhile, the synergistic interaction favors the high dispersion and modification of electronic states for the active species.

We found that the N-doped carbon-based hybrid materials feature the high specific surface area and high conductivity, which are beneficial to the charge (ions and electrons) transfer and mass transport [22]. Thus it exhibits a high potential for advanced energy conversion and storage applications. As a result, the NC@CoFe–CoFe2O4 catalyst achieved a high ORR performance with a half-wave potential of 0.89 V (vs. RHE, the same hereafter), more positive than those of NC@Fe–Fe3O4 (0.84 V) and NC@Co–CoO (0.85 V), reaching a record-high value for the Fe-based spinel metal oxides. The stability of the NC@CoFe–CoFe2O4 catalyst is superior to the commercial Pt/C, with a comparable ORR activity. This result indicates the possibility of using the low cobalt-content bimetallic species as the active catalyst to enhance catalytic performance.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Synthesis of C@CoFe-species

Initially, 1.2 g urea and 1.5 g α-glucose are dispersed into a mixture solution containing 30 mL H2O and 20 mL ethylene glycol. Then, 2.0 mmol Co(NO3)2·6H2O and 4.0 mmol Fe(NO3)3·9H2O are slowly added into the above mixture solution under continuous stirring. Thirty minutes later, the resulting mixture was transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave with a capacity of 100 mL. The autoclave was then heated to 180 °C for 12 h in an electric oven. After cooling to room temperature naturally, the precipitate was harvested by centrifugation, washed with a large amount of DI water, and then freeze-dried overnight to prepare the C@CoFe-species composite. Moreover, the pure CoFe-species, C@Co-species and C@Co-species were also prepared as above except that glucose, Co(NO3)2 or Fe(NO3)3 was not added. The carbon spheres were prepared by directly hydrothermal aqueous glucose solution, as mentioned above.

2.2. Synthesis of NC@CoFe–CoFe2O4

The NC@CoFe–CoFe2O4 material was prepared by continuous two-step calcination. In which a certain amount of C@CoFe-species and melamine (mass ratio of 10:1) was firstly heated to 250 °C and kept for 2 h in N2 atmosphere, and then subsequently heated to a specific temperature (600, 700 or 800 °C) with a fixed heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ and kept for another 1 h. After cooling to room temperature, the calcined sample was collected and nominated as NC@CoFe–CoFe2O4 (X°/°C data). In addition, the catalytic control materials of NC@CoFe–Fe3O4, NC@Co–CoO, NC and CoFe2O4 composites were also prepared under similar experimental conditions as discussed above using C@Fe-species, C@Co-species, carbon spheres, and CoFe-species, as the precursor materials, respectively.

2.3. Reference electrode calibration

In order to convert the data tested in the electrochemical system using Ag/AgCl as a reference electrode to a standard hydrogen electrode (SHE), we performed a test calibration. First, high-purity H2 gas was continuously bubbled into electrolyte for 30 min to form a H2-saturated 0.1 M KOH solution. Second, cyclic voltammetry was performed using two clean Pt foils and one Ag/AgCl (KCl saturated) electrode as working, counter, and reference electrodes, respectively. The average of the two potentials at which the current crossed zero was taken to be the thermodynamic potential for the reactions (See Fig. S1 for details).

2.4. Preparation of catalytic electrode

The synthesized catalyst (4.0 mg) and Nafion solution (5 wt%, 50 μL) were ultrasonically dispersed in ethanol (950 μL) for half an hour to obtain a homogeneous suspension. 10 μL of catalyst ink was pipetted on the surface of a clean glassy carbon electrode (GC, diameter for 5.0 mm) and rotating ring-disk electrode (RRDE, disk diameter 5.61 mm), dried in air to obtain the working electrodes.

The loading of the catalyst is ca. 0.20 mg cm⁻².

2.5. Electrochemical measurements

The ORR activity was evaluated in a three-electrode system with a carbon rod as the counter electrode, and Ag/AgCl saturated with KCl as the reference electrode, respectively. All electrochemical tests were performed at 25 °C in O2- or N2-saturated 0.1 M KOH, which was kept bubbling during the measurements to ensure the O2- or N2-saturated electrolyte. Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) were performed in O2-saturated 0.1 M KOH with a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) tests were performed in O2-saturated 0.1 M KOH solution with a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹ at various rotation rates from 625 to 2500 rpm. The methanol cross-over tolerance of the catalyst was evaluated by chronoamperometry measurement in O2-saturated 0.1 M KOH solution, and 3.0 M CH3OH was quickly added into the solution after running ca. 300 s. The stability test was performed by comparing the LSV curves in O2-saturated 0.1 M KOH before and after 2000 cycles with a rotation speed of 1600 rpm.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthetic strategy analysis

As shown in Scheme 1, the NC@CoFe–CoFe2O4 was synthesized through a facile two-step method (details given in Experimental Section). In the first step, ferric nitrate, cobalt nitrate, urea, and glucose were dissolved in the mixed solvents of DI water and ethylene glycol. Afterward, the C@CoFe-species was obtained under a hydrothermal condition at 180 °C. During the solvothermal process, cobalt and ferric nitrates were used as metal and urea as the nitrogen sources [23,24]. After the hydrothermal reaction, the formed CoFe-species clusters were enphasized in the carbon layers. In the second step, the freeze-dried powder was calcined at 250 °C then 700 °C under N2 atmosphere. During the calcination process, CoFe-species was partially reduced into CoFe alloy by carbonation and partially converted to CoFe2O4 clusters by oxidation during the pyrolysis. Melamine decomposes at high temperature, and CoFe alloy promotes in-situ growth of carbon nanotubes [25], which are expected to significantly enhance the catalytic activity and stability of catalyst towards ORR due to the high conductivity (Table S1). Besides, a series of control materials are also prepared, such as NC, CoFe2O4, NC@Fe–Fe3O4, and NC@Co–CoO, which contribute...
significantly to the interpretation of the catalytic mechanism.

3.2. Morphology, compositions and thermal studies

The morphology of the synthesized NC@CoFe–CoFe$_2$O$_4$ was initially characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The SEM image (Fig. 1a) indicates that there were few curved carbon nanotubes on the carbon layer that resulted in the catalytic effect of CoFe alloy during the calcination process, similar to that reported previously [26]. The TEM image in Fig. 1b displays that the CoFe alloy and CoFe$_2$O$_4$ clusters are anchored into the N-doped carbon layer of the carbon nanotubes. Typically, the crystal lattices with spacings of 0.21, 0.25 and 0.34 nm are observed in a high-resolution TEM image, which can be attributed to the (110) plane of Co$_3$Fe$_7$ (Fig. 1c), (113) plane of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ and (002) plane of graphitized carbon (Fig. 1d), respectively. Notably, the CoFe particles were wrapped by N-doped carbon layers (Fig. 1c). Fig. 1e further validates the homogeneous distribution of Co, Fe, C, and N in the catalyst.
elemental distribution of C, O, N, Co, and Fe in the whole structure of NC@CoFe–CoFe2O4 and the Co and Fe species are well encapsulated by N-doped carbon layers, implying a high density of active sites.

The crystalline structures of NC@CoFe–CoFe2O4 synthesized at different temperatures, together with NC@Fe–Fe3O4, NC@Co–CoO, and NC are further examined by XRD patterns. As shown in Fig. 2a, all the three samples exhibit clear diffraction peaks, indicating good crystallinity. The weak peak at ~26° is relevant to the (002) plane of the graphitic carbon structure (JCPDS: 89–8487). The XRD patterns of the NC@CoFe–CoFe2O4 (600, 700 and 800 °C) show three distinct peaks at around 44.8°, 65.2°, and 82.5°, which correspond to the (110), (200), and (211) crystal planes of Co3Fe7 (JCPDS: 48–1817), while the other characteristic peaks at about 30.1°, 35.4°, 43.1°, 56.9°, and 62.5° are well matched with (104), (113), (024), (125), and (208) lattice planes of spinel CoFe2O4 (JCPDS: 79–1744). It is worth noting that the NC@CoFe–CoFe2O4 (700 °C) appears a much stronger and broader diffraction peak at ~44.8° than others, which probably means a high content of CoFe alloy. As a comparison, the NC@Fe–Fe3O4 and NC@Co–CoO are also characterized by XRD patterns (Fig. S2). We can observe that the NC@Fe–Fe3O4 contains the cubic structure of Fe3O4 (JCPDS: 75–0449) in addition to metallic Fe, while the NC@Co–CoO has α–phase Co (JCPDS: 89–4307) and CoO (JCPDS: 70–2856). These different species and crystallinity could be the critical factors affecting the catalytic performance.

Raman spectroscopy is a non-destructive tool to investigate the structure and graphitization degree of carbon materials. As shown in Fig. 2b, all of the samples display two predominant peaks at about 1350 and 1580 cm⁻¹, corresponding to the D and G bands, respectively. The D-band is assigned to the breathing mode of κ-point phonons of A1g symmetry with vibrations of the carbon atoms of the disordered and defected graphite [27]. The G band is related to E2g phonons of sp² carbon atoms [15]. The NC@CoFe–CoFe2O4 possesses a smaller ratio of I_D/I_G (~0.93) than those of NC@CoFe–CoFe2O4-600 (~0.97) and NC@CoFe–CoFe2O4-800 (~0.98), indicating a higher graphitization due to the catalytic growth of carbon nanotubes by CoFe alloy. The I_D/I_G of NC@Fe–Fe3O4, NC@Co–CoO, and NC are respective 0.96, 0.92 and 1.0 (Fig. S3), implying that metal-doping can increase the degree of
carbon graphitization. Notably, the NC@CoFe–CoFe$_2$O$_4$ shows a typical signal at 670.4 cm$^{-1}$ belonging to Fe–O [28]. The higher graphitization of NC@CoFe–CoFe$_2$O$_4$ facilitates fast electron transport and thus contributes to improving the catalytic performance.

To evaluate the metal oxide content in NC@CoFe–CoFe$_2$O$_4$, the detailed thermos gravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out in the O$_2$ atmosphere. As shown in Fig. S4, a small exothermic peak, appeared before 120 °C due to the evaporation of the adsorbed H$_2$O molecules. There is a strong exothermic peak shown at 245.6 °C due to the burning of amorphous carbon, while the exothermic peak at 303.5 °C is the decomposition of graphitized carbon. Therefore, the content of metal oxide is about 34.2 wt%.

3.3. XPS analysis

XPS measurement is a powerful tool to survey the chemical state and electronic structure of the as-prepared materials [29]. As shown in Fig. S5, the full-range XPS survey spectrum of NC@CoFe–CoFe$_2$O$_4$ contains the elements of Co, Fe, O, N and C, in which the high-resolution C 1s spectrum is convoluted into four peaks at 284.0 (C=C), 284.8 (C=C–C=N), 286.0 (C=O) and 287.9 eV (C=O), respectively [30,31]. Fig. 3a shows the high-resolution Co 2p core-level spectrum, where the binding energies at 778.2, 779.6, and 781.2 eV of Co 2p$_{3/2}$ can be assigned to the metallic Co, Co$^{2+}$, and Co$^{3+}$ species, respectively. It can be observed that the contents of metallic Co and Co$^{2+}$ species in the NC coated materials (NC@CoFe–CoFe$_2$O$_4$ and NC@Co–CoO) are much higher than those of the unwrapped material (CoFe$_2$O$_4$). Similar results are also found in the high-resolution Fe 2p spectrum (Fig. 3b), in which the binding energies of Fe 2p$_{3/2}$ located at 706.8, 709.9, and 712.0 eV are ascribed to the metallic Fe, Fe$^{2+}$, and Fe$^{3+}$ species, respectively. Note: the rest two peaks of Fe 2p$_{3/2}$ at higher binding energies (714.1 and 717.9 eV) are satellite peaks. These results can be interpreted as that the metallic contents of Co and Fe are beneficial to enhance the conductivity of the composite, while Co or Fe could be anchored in the carbon matrix by coordinating with pyridinic N to form Co–N/Fe–N active sites [32,33]. The high-resolution N 1s spectrum of different samples are deconvoluted into three peaks at 398.2, 400.0, and 402.8 eV, which are respectively assigned to pyridinic-N, pyrrolic-N and graphitic-N (Fig. 3c) [34], suggesting that N is indeed doped into the carbon skeletons (Table S2). In addition to the high contents of Co$^{2+}$/Fe$^{2+}$, we found that the pyridinic-N in NC-wrapped CoFe–CoFe$_2$O$_4$ catalyst is significantly higher than that of others, further demonstrating the high concentration of Co–N/Fe–N active sites in comparison with other catalysts. This result is consistent with Fe–N$_4$ in Iron porphyria (Fig. S6) [35,36]. Therefore, these high content of Co–N/Fe–N active sites and highly conductive CoFe alloy ensure the improved ORR catalytic performance.

3.4. Electrochemical performance analysis

Pyrolysis temperature is a crucial factor that has been experimentally proven to affect the catalytic activity of ORR [37]. As
shown in Fig. S7a, the NC@CoFe–CoFe₂O₄ catalyst synthesized at 700 °C affords a cathodic peak at 0.87 V, which is considerably higher than those of the catalysts synthesized at 600 °C (0.53 V) and 800 °C (0.77 V), indicating that 700 °C is the optimum temperature for synthesizing the ORR catalyst. In addition, the LSV curves of NC@CoFe–CoFe₂O₄ catalysts synthesized at different temperatures also reveal that the catalyst synthesized at 700 °C has the best ORR activity, with the highest onset potential and maximum limiting current density (Fig. S7b). Therefore, unless otherwise stated, the catalysts discussed below are all prepared at 700 °C.

Fig. 4a shows CVs curves of NC@CoFe–CoFe₂O₄ catalyst together with NC@Fe–Fe₃O₄ and NC@Co–CoO as comparison in O₂/N₂-saturated 0.1 M KOH solution. The NC@CoFe–CoFe₂O₄ catalyst shows a well-defined cathodic ORR reduction peak at 0.87 V, when it compared in the N₂-saturated 0.1 M KOH electrolyte. The result is slightly positive for NC@Fe–Fe₃O₄ (0.86 V), but still higher than that of NC@Co–CoO (0.84 V) in O₂-saturated 0.1 M KOH electrolyte. Fig. 4b is the LSV curves of different catalyst-modified rotating disk electrodes at 1600 rpm in O₂-saturated 0.1 M KOH electrolyte. The onset potential (E_onset) of NC@CoFe–CoFe₂O₄ is 1.00 V, which is similar to these of NC-coated Fe/Co-based catalysts and Pt/C catalyst, but much higher than that of CoFe₂O₄ and NC (Fig. 4c). The half-wave potential (E₁/₂) and limiting current density (Iₘₐₓ) of NC@CoFe–CoFe₂O₄ are close to Pt/C, which are not only far better than those of the control catalysts but also higher than most of the reported literature (Table S3). The smallest Tafel slope of NC@CoFe–CoFe₂O₄ (74.5 mV dec⁻¹) (Fig. 4d) is another indication of the faster the protonation of O₂⁻ at the active site which is an essential factor determining the reaction rate of ORR [38]. Therefore, one can conclude from this study that the superior ORR activity is the result of the rich Coₐ–N/Feₐ–N active sites and good electron conductivity of the metallic CoFe [33].

LSV curves of NC@CoFe–CoFe₂O₄ in O₂-saturated 0.1 M KOH solution at various rotating speeds from 625 to 2500 rpm are recorded through the rotating disk electrode (RDE) measurements (Fig. 5a). Typically, the limiting current density in the LSV curves increases rapidly with increasing electrode rotation rates due to a decreased diffusion distance at high speeds, indicating that the ORR is diffusion-controlled process [39], in accordance with most of the previous studies [40,41]. The K–L plots showed nearly linearity at different potentials, and the electron-transfer numbers (n) are thus calculated to be an average value of 3.94 at potentials ranging from 0.3 V to 0.7 V (Fig. 5b). This results implies that the electrochemical reduction of dissolved O₂ follows first-order kinetics, and the O₂ reduction by NC@CoFe–CoFe₂O₄ catalyst is a direct four-electron transfer process [42]. The collected RRDE data in Fig. 5c, and Fig. 5d show that the electron transfer numbers of NC@CoFe–CoFe₂O₄ at different potentials are over 3.8, with yields of H₂O₂ below 6% throughout the whole potential windows, demonstrating the favorable reduction pathway of oxygen directly to hydroxyl.

According to previous reports, the electrochemical active surface area (EASA) is positively correlated with the electrochemical double-layer capacitance (Cdl), meaning that higher Cdl values have more active sites [27]. Here, the Cdl was obtained from CV curves recorded at different scan rates in the non-Faradaic potential region, and the results were shown in Fig. 6a, and Fig. S8. The Cdl value of NC@CoFe–CoFe₂O₄ is 23.57 mF cm⁻², which is 1.79- and 9.10-fold higher than those of NC@Co–CoO and NC@Fe–Fe₃O₄ (Fig. 6b), respectively. The more substantial Cdl value indicates more active sites and thereof resulting in higher ORR catalytic activity.
The actual EASA values of different catalysts are further investigated for their association with ORR catalytic activity [43,44]. The specific EASA values of NC@CoFeCoFe2O4, NC@FeFe3O4, and NC@CoCoO catalysts are evaluated in 5 mM K3[Fe(CN)6] 0.1 M KCl solution by using Pt foil as the counter electrode. As shown in Fig. 6c, and Fig. S9, the CV curves show a pair of redox peaks in which the oxidation peaks are plotted against the square root of the scan rates (Fig. 6d). The EASA of NC@CoFeCoFe2O4 catalyst is ca. 4.08 m2 g-1, which is 2.95 and 5.39-fold higher than those of NC@CoCoO (1.38 m2 g-1) and NC@FeFe3O4 (0.76 m2 g-1), further reflecting the high ORR catalytic activity.

It is established that the SCN- ion has a high affinity to iron and can poison CoN/FeN coordination sites that are catalyzing ORR [45,46]. As shown in Fig. 7a, the ORR activity of NC@CoFeCoFe2O4 catalyst is somewhat inhibited with ca. 5 mV negative shift for E1/2 and severe recession the diffusion-limiting current after the introduction of SCN- ions, confirming that the CoN/FeN species is the part of active sites in ORR [45,47]. Moreover, the methanol tolerance of NC@CoFeCoFe2O4 and Pt/C catalysts are further examined by chronoamperometry measurement. It can be seen from Fig. 7b that the catalytic performance of commercial Pt/C is rapidly decreased after the addition of 3.0 M methanol, which is a typical result of poisoning of Pt-based catalysts by CO species [11]. However, in the case of NC@CoFeCoFe2O4 catalyst, only a slight decline is observed, indicating its excellent resistance towards methanol crossover effect. This gratifying effect can be ascribed to the results of the double protection by CoFe2O4 clusters and NC layers. Therefore, a typical four-electron pathway is proposed to explain the catalytic mechanism [48], where the remarkable ORR activity has resulted from the synergistic effect of the CoFe alloy enhanced conductivity and the CoN/FeN along with CoFe2O4 based active sites (Fig. S10).

The stability of the NC@CoFeCoFe2O4 catalyst is evaluated by LSV measurements performed in O2-saturated 0.1 M KOH before and after 2000 cycles. It is observed from Fig. 7c that the E1/2 and limiting current density of Pt/C catalyst show a dramatical shift (70 mV) after 2000 cycles. In contrast, the polarization curve of the NC@CoFeCoFe2O4 catalyst shows a much smaller variation (13 mV) under the same condition (Fig. 7d). The slight decline in activity of NC@CoFeCoFe2O4 catalyst is primarily related to changes in the chemical states of the surface species. Some of the low-valence Co species are oxidized to Co3+ and part of the high-valence Fe species are reduced to metallic Fe at the same time in alkaline environment (Fig. S11). Once again, these results clearly indicate that the NC@CoFeCoFe2O4 catalyst has good stability in ORR catalysis and thereof a tremendous commercial application prospects.

4. Conclusions

In summary, a simple and cost-effective strategy is developed for the fabrication of the NC@CoFe-CoFe2O4 catalyst. The resulted NC@CoFe-CoFe2O4 demonstrates an excellent ORR activity and long-term durability, compared to that of the state-of-the-art Pt/C catalyst. Its onset and half-wave potentials are 34 mV and 30 mV negative than those of Pt/C, respectively. Meanwhile, it follows a four-electron pathway dominantly. The experiment results suggest that the combination of sizeable electrochemical area and
multimetal oxides with the N-doped carbon layer may offer more metal-N active sites in comparison with that of single-metal oxides. Contrast experiments have proved that the alloy of CoFe, the doping of N atoms, and the high-crystalline spinel structure of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ are the main contributors to the high ORR activity. The superior methanol-tolerance of the NC@CoFe$_2$O$_4$ makes it a promising alternative for costly Pt/C in the methanol fuel cell technology.
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